



City of Kansas City, Missouri
2022 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report
May 1, 2022 - April 30, 2023

For the:

Community Development Block Grant

HOME Investment Partnership Program

Emergency Solutions Grant

Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and
Urban Development by:

Blaine Proctor, Director

Housing and Community Development Services Division

March 22, 2024



CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The 2022-2026 Consolidated Plan (5-Year Con Plan) was constructed on a strategic framework which emphasized building upon existing area planning and resident consensus, increasing homeownership, support for community development activities, increasing access to affordable housing, continuing a strong homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing program, and developing sustainable management capacity to initiate large scale development projects.

Kansas City continues to work with partner agencies to enhance the impact in the identified target, sub-target areas. Eliminating blight and blighting influences by redeveloping and revitalizing targeted neighborhoods is a high priority.

The Five-Year Plan was a collaborative effort between City departments, community organizations, public agencies, neighborhood associations, and citizens. This report summarizes the first year of the 2022-2025 Consolidated Plan—program year 2022, which ran from May 1, 2022 through April 30, 2023.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
108 Debt Repayment	Debt Service	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%			

Child Care	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	450	165	36.67%			
Fair Housing	Fair Housing Supportive Activities	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%			
Homeless Prevention Services	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	31500	6914	21.95%			
Housing & Planning Administration	Housing & Planning Administration	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%			
Housing Counseling	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	3100	376	12.13%			
Housing Developments-Single Family/Blight Eliminat	Affordable Housing Blight Elimination & Multi-family Rehab	CDBG: \$	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	1	0	0.00%			
Housing Developments-Single Family/Blight Eliminat	Affordable Housing Blight Elimination & Multi-family Rehab	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1	0	0.00%			

Housing Developments-Single Family/Blight Eliminat	Affordable Housing Blight Elimination & Multi-family Rehab	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	2	0	0.00%			
Housing Developments-Single Family/Blight Eliminat	Affordable Housing Blight Elimination & Multi-family Rehab	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	1	0	0.00%			
Public Facilities & Improvements (Non-Housing)	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	6	0	0.00%			
Senior Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	2500	782	31.28%			
Single Family Homes	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	14	0	0.00%			
Single Family Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	405	0	0.00%			

Social services - Other (Community Development)	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3000	1286	42.87%			
Youth Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	12950	789	6.09%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

A major priority for Kansas City is homeless prevention. Funds were allocated to community partners to address the financial affects of Covid-19 by providing rental prevention, mortgage prevention, utility assistance, and housing counseling. These prevention services help thousands of people remain in thier homes and reduced evictions. CDBG funds were also used to contract with local community development corporation entities and to address the housing rehabilitation plan priority. The public services activities completed during the 2022 program year supported the community development objectives in the 2022 Action Plan.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Based on the data Black/African American families followed by whites receives the greater number of assistance of federal funds.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	8,119,899	6,158,600
HOME	public - federal	3,032,335	4,106,031
HOPWA	public - federal	1,958,985	1,958,985
ESG	public - federal	668,032	1,297,221

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

A total of \$13,520,837 was expended in 2022. This includes expenses from previous funded years.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The HOME match liability for the 2022 program year was satisfied through excess from prior year match that is accumulated from such activities as public infrastructure supporting affordable housing developments.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	305	157
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	405	222
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	307	287
Total	1,017	666

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	305	209
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	331	1
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	405	222
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	1,041	432

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The cost of housing materials has increased since Covid-19. This has caused a decrease in housing production and development. Its been difficult to keep the costs affordable for low to moderate income households. The City of Kansas City was able to provide more rental assistance for our special needs and homeless population. HOPWA and ESG help over 300 households with rental assistance and help maintain families in their homes.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

In the next annual action plan we will have a huge increase in housing production. There are 8 - 10 projects due to be completed. It is estimated that 200 or more new units will be available for rental. In addition, 8 new single family homes are currently being developed for homeownership.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	9,673	0
Low-income	1,784	0
Moderate-income	392	1
Total	11,849	1

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

There was over 9000 extremely low-income households served with CDBG funding in 2022 and 1 single family house completed for homeownership.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Every other Monday afternoon, CoC Lead Agency staff convene the "Housing Solutions" meeting comprised of staff representing a broad mix of emergency services and housing providers. The purpose of this regular meeting is to review housing program openings and names of individuals (both sheltered and unsheltered) on the community's By Name List and to conduct facilitated community case conferencing to make appropriate housing matches for all households experiencing homelessness.

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the community has focused on revamping its coordinated outreach team to ensure those living in unsheltered situations have ready access to up-to-date information about the virus as well as continued access to housing resources and other support. The outreach team consists of staff from homeless service providers, community-based mental health agencies, hospitals, faith-based organizations, the Veteran's Administration and the KCMO Police Department's Community Intervention Team (CIT). The team goes out three times weekly to sites where unsheltered persons may be found, including parks, abandoned buildings (or new buildings under construction), transit centers, parking lots, underpasses, etc. They also respond to leads from the police department and the general public about newly established or problematic encampments where social services are needed. Outreach team members complete a pre-screening on all persons they engage and, in coordination with the Coordinated Entry system, assess the individual's immediate needs, finds temporary housing if needed available. The goal of street outreach is to establish relationships and regularly engage with unsheltered persons until a "warm hand off" can be made to a temporary or permanent housing provider and additional supports that might be necessary.

Following a lengthy trial period, MO-604 elected to revert to using the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization and Decision Assistance Tool (VISPDAT) to replace the Vulnerability Assessment Tool (VAT) as the primary assessment tool for all persons that present as homeless or at risk of homelessness. The VISPDAT is administered by trained staff only and determines the prioritization of the individual(s) on the community By Name List. Prioritization indicates if the person needs diversion services, Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing or service-enriched Transitional Housing based upon their level of vulnerability, severity of need, and available housing options.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Kansas City's Coordinated Entry system has evolved to include in-person access through street outreach, at drop-in centers, victim service provider locations, and "pop-up" locations, by-phone through the United Way 2-1-1 system, online by way of the Aunt Bertha platform linked to GKCEEH's website, and by

appointment at five “hubs.” These hubs, identified service sites for the majority of homeless individuals, were implemented at the inception of the local Coordinated Entry System and include reStart (North, North East and Downtown), Community LINC (Midtown and South) the Veterans Administration, and Frank Williams Housing Outreach Center (Wyandotte County). Increasingly, the community has begun to focus on utilizing housing problem-solving techniques at the first point of contact and prior to administration of the VISPDAT and placement onto the By-Name List.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The housing prioritization process is designed to ensure that homelessness is rare, brief, and non-recurring. Kansas City was able to achieve the Functional Zero designation in Veteran homelessness as defined by USICH, the US Interagency Council on Homelessness, and continues to be involved in the nationwide Built for Zero movement and is currently developing strategies to target youth homelessness while continuing to focus on Veterans and households experiencing chronic homelessness. Since the implementation of Coordinated Entry, Kansas City homeless service providers have pledged to reduce the time individuals experience homelessness once assessed to 30 days. This ambitious timeframe remains a long-term goal but has proven to be difficult to accomplish. To get closer to this goal, GKCEEH has implemented a Housing Navigator job role as part of the Coordinated Entry System which has been instrumental to ensure homeless households remain connected to the system and are shepherded through the process swiftly as possible. Most recently, the CoC has begun developing new strategies to expand upon existing housing resources included a new landlord engagement initiative and developing deeper collaborations with adjacent systems. To that end, The One Roof program is worth mentioning, which represents a two-years long collaboration between the CoC, Truman Medical Center, Community Linc, Metro Lutheran Ministry, and the MO Division of Social Services. This work is targeted to families involved in the child welfare system for whom housing is the barrier to reunification. Though there are programs in the Continuum focused on homeless prevention amongst populations exiting other systems of care, an overall framework has been difficult to implement. Despite some challenges, the CoC continues to work toward interventions and strategies to ensure there is an adequate supply of appropriate housing for all and that homelessness is prevented wherever possible.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Kansas City is part of the Missouri Governor’s Committee to End Homelessness and has committed to its statewide discharge plan that indicates that no homeless person shall be discharged into homelessness; this includes all public and private institutions. Strategies to achieve this include referrals among the agencies participating in the Coordinated Entry System to expand the community’s capacity to provide emergency assistance and long term supports to formerly homeless households. Homeless service providers are adopting an Employment First philosophy to match our Housing First practices. To this end, the strategic plan currently in the works includes intentional focus on “pipeline projects,” which include ramping up existing housing infrastructure, developing new and enhanced partnerships with workforce development, the business community, and others partners.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri (HAKC) provides housing and housing assistance to approximately 10,000 low, very low and extremely low-income families each year. The average household income of the families HAKC serves is \$13,784 per year or about 14% of the area median household income for the Kansas City area. HAKC, in partnership with the City of Kansas City, Missouri, was awarded a \$30 million Choice Neighborhoods Implementation Grant to replace the 134-unit Chouteau Courts. The first five replacement housing sites are Rose Hill Townhomes, Pendleton Flats, Pendleton Arts Block, Quinlan Row, and Quinlan Place. Phase 6, Brookwood at Antioch. Phase 7 is 1) HAKC provides supportive services for residents in both the public housing and HCV programs are provided by the HAKC's Resident Services Department. 2) Case managers are primarily funded through the Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) and the Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) grants awarded by HUD. 3) The FSS program provides financial literacy, employment services, an escrow account that participants earn while working. 4) They also have the opportunity to join the HAKC Homeownership Program. HAKC has two case managers that work with seniors and grandfamilies. Sam Rodgers Place is the seventh and final phase under the Choice Neighborhoods grant.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The Public Housing Residents Council (PHRC) is the primary vehicle for resident involvement and input. Each public housing development elects a representative to the Council. The PHRC meets with HAKC staff at the monthly Partnership Meeting. HAKC staff provide updates on programs and residents can voice their concerns regarding building maintenance or HAKC services. Legal Aid of Western Missouri continues to provide legal consultation and advice to the PHRC. Any resident may also attend the HAKC monthly Board of Commissioner meetings and voice concerns. There are two residents appointed to the HAKC Board of Commissioners with full membership and voting rights. One is from Public Housing and one from Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV or Section 8). All residents are encouraged to participate in the numerous programs and classes coordinated by the HAKC Resident Services Department. These include the Family Self-Sufficiency Program, and job-readiness and employment programs including Section 3. Residents interested in homeownership are encouraged to participate in the FDIC MoneySmart financial literacy and homeownership classes. Participating residents are referred to agents and lenders willing to participate in the HCV (Section 8) Homeownership Program.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

NA

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Throughout the program year, elected officials, community stakeholders, and City departments addressed many policies to ensure alignment or to realign policies where necessary to ensure cohesive strategies and outcomes. The City believes that every resident has the right to safe, decent, affordable, and fair housing regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, disability, familial status, or source of income. In support of its residents City staff identified areas in ordinances, policies, programs, and practices that could be modified to better address housing choices throughout Kansas City. The Right to Counsel Program was implemented to prevent evictions and provide free legal assistance and support to individuals and families. The Housing and Community Services Department has partnered with the Heartland Center for Jobs and Freedom, Legal Aide and UMKC Fellows Program to provide free legal assistance to at risk families.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The allocation priorities established in the 2022 annual plan identified targeted areas of greatest need, public services, facilities, and emphasis on emergency housing needs for low income/elderly, affordable housing, and special needs. Local agencies provided resources which assisted in meeting unserved needs in the community. The City is addressing the reduction in resources through: (1) the passage of 1/8 cent sales tax for targeted housing and economic development over a ten year period; (2) Increased use of tax increment financing for housing improvements; (3) new partnerships with local and national lending institutions to create loan programs for housing development and rehabilitation;

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program

Lead-based paint in homes is the primary cause of lead poisoning in children; and the effects can be severe: permanent brain, heart, and kidney damage; attention deficit disorder; learning disabilities; and in extreme cases, death. While lead poisoning can strike both children and adults, children and babies in utero are more vulnerable and suffer the most damage from it. Children under six years of age have been deemed the most at-risk population; and the City's efforts are particularly aimed at protecting them.

The primary program to reduce residential lead-based paint hazards is the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention/Healthy Homes Program, (CLPPP), which is operated by the City's Health Department. The CLPPP's goal is to eliminate lead poisoning in Kansas City. To attain this goal, the CLPPP provides free

blood lead and hemoglobin testing (for children, pregnant women and adults). The CLPPP also provides: case management services (lead hazard inspection, recommendations about how to remediate, education, referrals, resources such as; HEPA vacuums, cleaning kits, painting supplies, enforcement of State statute and nurse home visits) for lead-poisoned children, community education, and free or low cost lead paint hazard identification and removal in rental and owner occupied, residential properties including single family and multifamily homes. The CLPPP serves to articulate and enforce the City's lead ordinance, promote the U.S. Centers for Disease Control's guidelines for lead poisoning in children, meet HUD goals and contract obligations to promote safe and healthy homes for families, and provide the services necessary to meet the national health objectives of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If an owner refuses to remediate or otherwise comply with the City's lead ordinance, the CLPPP's risk assessment staff members have the authority to write tickets, enforceable in court.

In 2018, the Health Department launched a Healthy Homes Rental Inspection Program (HHRI). This program, funded by a registration fee from rental property owners, provides inspectors who will respond to complaints from tenants in single family and multi-unit rental properties. The goal of the program is to establish and enforce minimum standards for rental properties. In fiscal year 2022, the HHRI Program investigated 5 complaints regarding suspected lead paint in interior housing.

The CLPPP receives Federal and Health Department (local) funds. In August 2020, HUD awarded the CLPPP a Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration grant for \$4,003,778. This grant will run from January 4, 2021 to July 4, 2024 (42 months). This grant funds the Lead Safe KC Program (LSKC) which is the primary resource to reduce lead paint hazards and increase the number of lead-safe dwelling units for LMI families. With the new 2020 grant, LSKC's goal is to conduct lead paint hazard identification and removal activities in 175 housing units over a forty-two month period. Currently, the grant is on track to meet deliverables for the project. Monthly walk-throughs bid 6-10 homes each. The home repair projects include both lead hazard control (interim control and abatement combination) as well as a 'healthy home' inspection which identifies other hazards in the home that may affect the health of the residents. There is a small amount of funding available to repair 'healthy home' hazards in each home that receive lead hazard control. In addition to the direct impact removing lead paint and health hazards has on these homes, the addition of the significant dollar amount of home repair resources increases the value of the home and the general sustainability of the home and the neighborhood.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

This program year the City's Section 3 Office partner with local job training programs to refer low-income job seekers to Section 3 contractors working on federal projects. The Section 3 Office works on affordable housing, public facility and other federally funded construction projects to ensure that projects assist low income business owners and provide opportunities for low income persons seeking employment.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

There are no significant gaps in institutional delivery structure, although the lack of adequate funding for these entities continues to limit the services offered to low income citizens. The number of poverty-level families will be reduced through the use of targeted economic development incentives, microenterprise technical assistance and on-going implementation of MBE/WBE and Section 3 programs. The implementation of the Plan for Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFH Plan) will enhance coordination between state, units of local government, public and assisted housing providers, governmental health, mental health and service agencies in the region.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Fair Housing is pivotal to address the needs of the community in an effort to keep people housed. The City has conducted 15 fair housing presentations and promotional events and trained more than 800 residents, city staff, housing providers, churches, and real estate agents. In addition, staff hosted its annual Fair Housing and Equity Summit. Topics discussed was the importance of credit score, mortgages, tenant & landlord resources, equity & inclusion in housing and real estate appraisals. The Fair Housing Summit works to unite local, private and social services to partner to address the needs of the community.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The actions taken by the City to overcome the effects of impediments to fair housing includes the continuation of the Rental Assistance Program with CDBG, ERAP, ESG, and local funding to provide rental assistance and legal representation to tenants who is facing evictions. In addition, we have leveraged funds from our Central City Economic Development to improve neighborhoods by increasing the number of new businesses and new housing for low to moderate families. The ESG program continues provide supportive housing and prevention efforts for the unhoused population. HOPWA has partner with several HIV/AIDS organizations to provide prevention and housing for those individuals living with the disease. In addition, the City has partnered with home repair organizations NNI, Ivanhoe, and the Whole Person to assist residents with modifications and improvements in target neighborhoods with the greatest need. Additionally, we worked with HAKC on the Choice Neighborhoods grant. Which provided over 100 new affordable units to the Kansas City area.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The objectives of monitoring are to: ensure uniformity & efficiency in the administration of Entitlement funds; ensure compliance with Federal, State & local statutory & regulatory requirements for the CDBG, HOME, ESG & HOPWA programs; reduce/eliminate compliance issues & enhance administrative/management capacities of program managers, other departments & sub-recipients through training & technical assistance. The Program Monitoring Section implements mandatory administrative guidance for the CDBG, HOME & ESG-funded programs & has primary responsibility for monitoring CDBG & HOME-funded activities. The Health Department's HIV Services Program monitors the HOPWA funded activities.

Agency & Sub-recipient Monitoring Procedures: Before funding, an agency & activity are assessed for capacity, alignment with the City's Consolidated Plan priorities & adherence to Federal regulations. Monitoring is an ongoing process, beginning with contract execution & continuing until a project is complete & achieves a national objective or meets its affordability period. Staff provides technical assistance, perform desk audits & monitor on-site to ensure activities are being completed, goals are being met & performance requirements are being fulfilled. Monitoring addresses contract performance/progress; procurement; national objectives/eligibility; record retention; overall management systems; Davis Bacon & related acts; financial management; Section 3 & MBE/WBE; program income accountability; allowable costs & cost principles; HQS & other cross-cutting Federal regulations.

Staff conducts technical assistance visits during the execution of the contract, written agreement or commitment of funds. An on-site monitoring review will be conducted for all sub-recipients. Beginning the second quarter of the fiscal year, staff will conduct this review utilizing the appropriate checklist as outlined in the Sub-recipient Monitoring section of the Department's policies & procedures manual, which insures an in-depth look at financial, operational, & personnel policies & procedures & includes a review of the audit, procurement policies & records, equipment & property inventory, record retention, status of the scope of work, composition & activity of a sub-recipient's board, conflict of interest for board members/staff, & reviewing client files for eligibility and/or affordability & rent. The outcome will be communicated in writing to the sub-recipient/agency & will include any noncompliance issues & recommendations for achieving compliance, if warranted.

Because compliance issues result directly from a lack of internal capacity or awareness of HUD regulations, sub-recipients will be required to attend applicable HUD or City provided training during the program year.

The ESG on-site monitoring reviews include, but are not limited to the following: eligibility; entries in HMIS; intake & assessment; general recordkeeping; established goal plan by the grant; regular client contact & notes related to the goal plan & health/safety inspections. More than one monitoring visit may take place based upon a risk assessment. Risk assessment factors include, but are not limited to the following: significant change in program guidance; change in significant staff working on grant; failure to submit request for payment timely or repeated errors observed; reports submitted late or inaccurately & no services recorded in HMIS.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Public notices for the CAPER and performance report were published in the KC Star, Kansas City Call and Dos Mundos the week of _____. The 2022 CAPER was published on the Housing and Community Development web page and virtual public meetings was held on _____ and _____, _____. Public comments will be received until _____. All comments at the time of submission are attachment. If additional comments are received after _____ the CAPER will be amended and resubmitted with the additional comments.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No change in program objectives.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Alexandria Apartments	1/1/21 - 12/31/21	Pass
Cameron Place	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Blenheim School Apart	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Cardinal Ridge	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Blenheim Apartments	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Cardinal Ridge	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Colonnades at Beacon Hill	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Curls Manor Apartments	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Faxon School Apts	1/1/21 - 12/31/21	Pass
Highland Place	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Jefferson Place	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Linwood Gardens	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Morning Star Senior Apts	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Mt Cleveland Townhomes	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
North Park Place (Willow Glen)	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Oak Point	1/1/21-12/31/-21	Pass
Parkway Apts	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Pendleton Arts Block	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Quinlan Place	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Rosehill Townhomes	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Swope Parkway Estates	1/1/21-12/31/-21	Pass
Seven Oaks	1/1/21-12/31/21	Pass
Sheffiled Place	1/21/21-12/31/21	Pass
Squire Park Townhomes	1/21/21-12/31/21	Pass
Twin Elms	1/21/21-12/31/21	Pass
Villa Del Sol	1/21/21-12/31/21	Pass
Vine Street Lofts	1/21/21-12/31/21	Pass
Woodbridge Apts	1/21/21-12/31/21	Pas

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

The City requires all HOME funded projects to use the fair housing logo on all marketing publications and to market the availability of the HOME units to those persons who might not otherwise be aware of the affordable housing opportunities made available through the HOME Program.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

The City anticipates a very active 2023 program year with as many as 200 affordable housing units, both single family and multifamily, to be developed by for-profit, non-profit and Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). The compliance staff will perform on-going risk analysis, desk reviews, and on-site monitoring for affordability compliance. These efforts ensure that City assisted rental units remain decent, safe, and affordable.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	16	56
Tenant-based rental assistance	275	110
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	58
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	32	63

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility (STRMU) assistance was provided to 56 households. Of the 56 households served with STRMU funds, 55 (98.2%) utilized those funds to remain stably housed and 1 began staying or living with family temporarily.

HOPWA Tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA) was provided to 110 households. 93 (84.5%) remained in the program. 11 clients moved on to other forms of stable housing after exiting the program (8 into private housing and 3 to permanent residence with family). For the remaining 5 households, 3 clients passed away, 1 entered a substance use facility, and 2 clients moved into temporary living situations.

Transitional housing was provided to 58 households. Of the 46 households served with transitional housing funds, 38 (66%) either remained stably housed in the program or moved to permanent housing by the end of the program year. Of the remaining 20 other households served, 9 exited to a temporary living situation, 3 clients exited to jail, 1 client passed away, and 8 clients exited to unknown destinations.

Permanent housing was provided to 38 households through operating costs of permanent housing facilities. Of the 38 households served with permanent housing funds, 38 (100 %) either remained stably

housed in the program or moved to permanent housing by the end of the program year.

Permanent Housing Placement (PHP) assistance was provided to 25 households. Of the 25 households served with PHP funds, 22 (88.0%) were able to access permanent housing and 3 moved to temporary destinations.

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	1	4	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours	40	10,732			
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	0	10,732			
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	0				

Table 15 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers					
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.	1				
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	1				
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).	140				
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.		10			
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.	1				
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.		1			
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.	1				
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.	1	1			
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.					
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.		10			
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.					
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.	1				

Other.					
--------	--	--	--	--	--

Table 16 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

There was a total of 12,394 Section - 3 hours worked during the reporting period. Majority of the hours were on construction type activities. Staff handled over 50 calls into the office from the public seeking job opportunities and businesses needing assistance with their applications. Staff attended meetings with local clergy, community development corporations, economic development agencies to inform about the Section 3 program. The City has partnered with several job training agencies that will provide their clients with a Section 3 application and forward them to the Section 3 administrator for approval. This has resulted in an increase in Approved Section 3 workers, being made available to obtain work. After these persons are approved, their names are sent to CBDG partner to conduct job training activities as needed. The Section 3 Administrator continues to seek other partners to assist with the intake of potential workers. The City is in the process of updating its Website to make it more user friendly for the public.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	KANSAS CITY
Organizational DUNS Number	073134231
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	446000201
Identify the Field Office	KANSAS CITY
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Kansas City/Independence/ Lee's Summit/Jackson County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	LaToya
Middle Name	D
Last Name	Jones
Suffix	
Title	Housing Department Financial Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	414 E. 12th Street
Street Address 2	24th Floor
City	Kansas City
State	MO
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	8165138436
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	latoya.jones@kcmo.org

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	ROBIN
Last Name	FLAHERTY
Suffix	
Title	City Grants Manager
Phone Number	8165131202
Extension	
Email Address	robin.flaherty@kcmo.org

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	05/01/2022
--------------------------------	------------

Program Year End Date

04/30/2023

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAVE, Inc.

City: Kansas City

State: MO

Zip Code: 64171, 8301

DUNS Number: 835044306

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: restart, Inc.

City: Kansas City

State: MO

Zip Code: 64106, 3009

DUNS Number: 785487844

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 30000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Journey To New Life

City: Kansas City

State: MO

Zip Code: 64109, 1844

DUNS Number: 052544976

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 198714

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Benilde Hall Inc.

City: Kansas City

State: MO

Zip Code: 64127, 4201

DUNS Number: 827135518

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 62000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Synergy Services Inc

City: Parkville

State: MO

Zip Code: 64152, 3703

DUNS Number: 155503394

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 60000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Rose Brooks Center

City: Kansas City

State: MO

Zip Code: 64132, 0599

DUNS Number: 139954437

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 167216

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	25
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	25

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	94
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	94

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,158
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	1,158
Total	2,316

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	1,232
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,232

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	1,773
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,773

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	10
Total Number of bed-nights available	106,580
Total Number of bed-nights provided	83,705
Capacity Utilization	78.54%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Sage HMIS reporting found in the attachments describe performance and outcomes that were developed in partnership with the COC.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	79,925	0	1,377,435
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	3,429	0	677,931
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	24,240	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	83,354	24,240	2,055,366

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	163,387	136,166	7,664,748
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	9,632	18,564	5,861,700
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	41,743	78,050	2,094,024
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	214,762	232,780	15,620,472

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Essential Services	112,567	138,693	14,255,732
Operations	179,902	224,172	14,187,277
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	292,469	362,865	28,443,009

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	50,949	49,500	681,996

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2020	2021	2022
	641,534	669,385	46,800,843

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2020	2021	2022
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	67,200	67,000	6,085,286
State Government	0	0	2,753,382
Local Government	100,000	50,000	60,000

Private Funds	0	0	4,698,100
Other	689,275	641,867	47,618,276
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	856,475	758,867	61,215,044

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2020	2021	2022
	1,498,009	1,428,252	108,015,887

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

Section 3 Report

Housing and Community Development Department

Summary Section 3

May 1, 2022 – April 30, 2023

As a grantee for federal program funds, namely the Community Development Block Grant (“CDBG”), Home Investment Funds Partnerships Program, (“HOME”), and Neighborhood Stabilization (“NSP”) funds, the City Kansas City, Missouri (City) is required, by the Department of Housing and Urban Development Department (HUD), to develop and implement a Section 3 Program. The program provides hiring, economic, and training opportunities for low-income persons, very low-income persons and business concerns. Implementing this program and its policies, the City has assigned the Housing and Community Development Department with the overall responsibility for the management, execution and monitoring of the program.

The City continues to make an effort to present the new Section 3 administrative requirements to as many persons as possible. This has been a challenge, since many of the past participants were used to the old requirements. Our office tried to send out emails to all of the Section 3 Business Concerns to bring them up to date. The office continued to update the outreach documents and visit the construction sites to ensure that they follow the contracts and the requirement to have a sign posted in front of the project. The Section 3 office continues to work with the MBE/WBE and SLBE’s as they are certified under the new Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity Department (CREO) office.

Due to ongoing issues with COVID-19, the City continued to minimize the contact with the public for the 2022. We will continue to offer the applications online and in person as requested and in person by appointment.

The City began to updated data base to capture the required information for clients. The City continued to certify Section 3 business concerns utilizing the CREO office B2G project tracking system. This system allows the City reached out to all current Section 3 Business Concerns to obtain their current Section 3 status and track the percentage of project completion. The B2G system allows our office to send out bids opportunities when they are made available to our office.

Challenges

During conversations with many of the Section 3 businesses, several have expressed their ongoing challenges with trying to stay afloat the current challenging economic conditions. Several of the companies are finding it very difficult to find workers that are “qualified” and “non-qualified” to participate in the Section 3 Program. There’s a local and national employment shortages, with KCMO being no different.

Many of them continue to have their construction sites are experiencing theft, ranging supplies, equipment and even with security fencing being taken from the construction site. Unfortunately, this causes projects to become delayed and of course this increases the overall cost of the projects. The City will continue to work with the Developers and Contractors to address their issues as needed.

Best Management Practice:

Through the City’s Minor Home Repair Program, we will continue to work with Section 3 Business Concerns that are currently certified. We are going to were possible, look to combining our education and outreach activities with the fair housing and Section 3. We have updated our website to reflect our enhancements. With this approach, we believe some of the residents that Home Repair Program is assisting might have a family member be offered job opportunities through the Section 3 program. Additionally, with many of the contractors working on residential units, we believed they should be made aware of the fair housing act requirements. Because the City’s Minor Home Program is funded through the City’s HUD’s CDBG allocation. Besides offering housing improvements to single-family KCMO homeowners who do not have the resources to make the needed repairs and who have not participated in the program within the last five years. We are going to continue to offer free workshops to the public and resources through our Minor Home Repair, Fair Housing or the Section 3 Programs.

PARTNERSHIPS & TRAINING

The City in partnership with the Hispanic Chamber and Strategic Work Solutions, they have both agreed to utilize our intake applications to provide clients to our office. The mission of both organizations is to provide job skill training, assist individuals with improving their self-determination, something that so many low income persons lack.

Both staff were trained on intake and they are sending both businesses and low-income persons to our office to be certified.

The City will continue to work with these agencies and to refer new Section 3 Certified persons to them in an effort to support their needs.

Both organizations were able to participate in the Civil Rights Summit conducted by the Housing Department and the Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity Department that was

held in May 2023. The Section 3 Office is in conversation with a few other non-profits about becoming certified.

On May 6, 2022, Fair Housing & Equality Summit, the Housing Department in partnership with the City's Civil Rights Department, conducted a Fair Housing and Equity Summit at the Convention Center, here in KCMO. The event topic was Redlining and other civil right issues. The Section 3 Administrator was the MC for the event a HUD staff person served as the luncheon Speaker. Local non-profits and businesses were provided the opportunity to have an outreach table at the event. The Section 3 Office had an informational tables along with other community partners covering employment and housing resources. [150 participants].

Section 3 Projects in work and onsite visits occurred.

- St. Michaels Veterans Center Solar Conversion
- Villa Del Sol Apartments
- Signal Hill Phase II
- The Rochester
- Nottingham Phase II
- 811 E. 31st, Building Rehab
- 2500 Michigan
- Choice Neighborhood
- Historic Olgesby Hotel Apartments
- Garfield Cottages
- Posada del Sol Senior Apartments
- Rose Brook Center

PR-26 Financial Summary Report

	Office of Community Planning and Development	DATE: 02-28-24
	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	TIME: 16:17
	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE: 1
	PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report	
	Program Year 2022 KANSAS CITY, MO	

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	7,719,899.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
05b FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	96,033.71
05c FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	7,815,932.71

PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	2,851,192.09
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	2,851,192.09
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	0.00
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	2,851,192.09
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	4,964,740.62

PART III: LOW/MOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	2,593,297.79
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	2,593,297.79
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	90.95%

LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS

23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: PY:
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%

PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	217,672.15
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	217,672.15
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	7,719,899.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	51,124.71
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	7,771,023.71
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	2.80%

PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	0.00
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	0.00
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	7,719,899.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	7,719,899.00
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	0.00%



LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2020	9	4578	6739841	St. Michaels Veterans Center - Solar Panel Installation	03C	LWC	\$1,000.00
2020	9	4578	6747073	St. Michaels Veterans Center - Solar Panel Installation	03C	LWC	\$244,660.89
					03C	Matrix Code	\$245,660.89
2020	9	4540	6651044	WEB Dubois Learning Center-Boiler Replacement	03D	LWC	\$150,000.00
					03D	Matrix Code	\$150,000.00
2017	9	4349	6729894	Emmanuel Daycare & Child Develop Center	03M	LWC	\$172,904.00
					03M	Matrix Code	\$172,904.00
2020	9	4492	6739846	Public Facility - Guadalupe Centers Inc.	03Z	LWC	\$191,501.00
2020	9	4494	6651044	Public Facility - Journey to New Life	03Z	LWC	\$78,939.00
2021	9	4500	6732844	Mattie Rhodes Center - Cultural Center	03Z	LWC	\$75,000.00
2021	9	4500	6747174	Mattie Rhodes Center - Cultural Center	03Z	LWC	\$600,000.00
2021	9	4503	6651067	Amethyst Place Inc. - Security Upgrades - PF	03Z	LWC	\$30,779.37
					03Z	Matrix Code	\$976,219.37
2021	5	4546	6651067	Palestine Senior Citizens Activity Center - PS	05A	LWC	\$4,137.28
					05A	Matrix Code	\$4,137.28
2021	4	4511	6651067	Boys and Girls Club - J & D Wagner Unit Youth Development Program - PS	05D	LWC	\$13,378.85
2021	4	4519	6651067	Rose Brooks Inc - Project Safe - PS	05D	LWC	\$10,061.73
2021	4	4542	6651067	W.E.B Dubois Learning Center - PS	05D	LWC	\$10,949.51
2021	4	4548	6651067	Mattie Rhodes Center - Violence Intervention & Prevention Program - PS	05D	LWC	\$9,923.18
					05D	Matrix Code	\$44,313.27
2021	8	4552	6651067	Amethyst Place Inc - Recovery Services - PS	05F	LWC	\$20,611.33
					05F	Matrix Code	\$20,611.33
2021	8	4555	6651067	Heartland Center for Jobs and Freedom - PS	05K	LWC	\$45,005.53
					05K	Matrix Code	\$45,005.53
2021	4	4543	6651067	Guadalupe Centers Early Childhood Education - PS	05L	LWC	\$3,619.27
2021	4	4547	6651067	Operation Breakthrough - After School Program - PS	05L	LWC	\$6,266.64
					05L	Matrix Code	\$9,885.91
2021	7	4541	6651067	Greater Kansas City Housing Information Center - PS	05U	LWC	\$27,067.16
					05U	Matrix Code	\$27,067.16
2021	8	4520	6651067	Guadalupe Center - Housing Assistance -PS	05X	LWC	\$22,311.47
					05X	Matrix Code	\$22,311.47
2021	6	4512	6651067	Kansas City Community Gardens - PS	05Z	LWC	\$25,307.18
2021	8	4544	6651067	Community Assistance Council - Welfare & Homeless Prevention -PS	05Z	LWC	\$10,695.82
2021	8	4557	6651067	Sheffield Place, Inc. - PS	05Z	LWC	\$8,337.20
					05Z	Matrix Code	\$44,340.20
1994	2	2	6664585	CDBG COMMITTED FUNDS ADJUSTMENT	07	LWA	\$455,382.46
1994	2	2	6680986	CDBG COMMITTED FUNDS ADJUSTMENT	07	LWA	(\$96,033.71)
					07	Matrix Code	\$359,348.75
2015	12	4118	6685716	City Home Repair	14A	LWH	\$107,407.29
2020	10	4433	6739846	Northland Neighborhoods Inc - MHR	14A	LWH	\$50,000.00
2020	10	4620	6739846	Westside Housing Organization -	14A	LWH	\$50,000.00
2021	10	4565	6651067	Northland Neighborhood Inc - Minor Home Repair	14A	LWH	\$237,881.04
2021	10	4569	6651067	Westside housing - Downtown/Minor home repair	14A	LWH	\$14,785.00
2021	10	4570	6651067	Westside Housing Organization - NE/Minor Home Repair	14A	LWH	\$10,700.00
					14A	Matrix Code	\$470,773.33
2019	15	4363	6651044	Hispanic Economic Development Corp - Micro Enterprise Assistance	18C	LWC	\$719.30
					18C	Matrix Code	\$719.30
Total							\$2,593,297.79



LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity to prevent, prepare for, and respond to Coronavirus	Activity Name	Grant Number	Fund Type	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2021	5	4546	6651067	No	Palestine Senior Citizens Activity Center - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05A	LWC	\$4,137.28
								05A	Matrix Code	\$4,137.28
2021	4	4511	6651067	No	Boys and Girls Club - J & D Wagner Unit Youth Development Program - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05D	LWC	\$13,378.85
2021	4	4519	6651067	No	Rose Brooks Inc - Project Safe - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05D	LWC	\$10,061.73
2021	4	4542	6651067	No	W.E.B DuBois Learning Center - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05D	LWC	\$10,949.51
2021	4	4548	6651067	No	Mattie Rhodes Center - Violence Intervention & Prevention Program - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05D	LWC	\$9,923.18
								05D	Matrix Code	\$44,313.27
2021	8	4552	6651067	No	Amethyst Place Inc - Recovery Services - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05F	LWC	\$20,611.33
								05F	Matrix Code	\$20,611.33
2021	8	4555	6651067	No	Heartland Center for Jobs and Freedom - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05K	LWC	\$45,005.53
								05K	Matrix Code	\$45,005.53
2021	4	4543	6651067	No	Guadalupe Centers Early Childhood Education - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05L	LWC	\$3,619.27
2021	4	4547	6651067	No	Operation Breakthrough - After School Program - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05L	LWC	\$6,266.64
								05L	Matrix Code	\$9,885.91
2021	7	4541	6651067	No	Greater Kansas City Housing Information Center - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05U	LWC	\$27,067.16
								05U	Matrix Code	\$27,067.16
2021	8	4520	6651067	No	Guadalupe Center - Housing Assistance -PS	B21MC290003	EN	05X	LWC	\$22,311.47
								05X	Matrix Code	\$22,311.47
2021	6	4512	6651067	No	Kansas City Community Gardens - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05Z	LWC	\$25,307.18
2021	8	4544	6651067	No	Community Assistance Council - Welfare & Homeless Prevention -PS	B21MC290003	EN	05Z	LWC	\$10,695.82
2021	8	4557	6651067	No	Sheffield Place, Inc. - PS	B21MC290003	EN	05Z	LWC	\$8,337.20
								05Z	Matrix Code	\$44,340.20
Total				No	Activity to prevent, prepare for, and respond to Coronavirus					\$217,672.15

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.

2022 Sage Report

2/28/24, 3:08 PM

Sage: Reports: HUD ESG CAPER



HUD ESG CAPER

Report: CAPER

Period: 5/1/2022 - 4/30/2023

Your user level here: Data Entry and Account Admin

Contains all user-entered forms and aggregate CAPER-CSV data.

Report Date Range

5/1/2022 to 4/30/2023

Contact information

First Name Florence
Middle Name Pamela
Last Name Wilson
Suffix
Title
Street Address 1 414 E. 12th Street
Street Address 2 24th Floor City Hall
City Kansas City
State Missouri
ZIP Code 64106
Email Address florence.l.wilson@kcmo.org
Phone Number (816)518-4616
Extension
Fax Number (816)613-2808

Project types carried out during the program year

Component	Projects	Total Persons Reported	Total Households Reported
Emergency Shelter - Entry Exit	0	0	0
Emergency Shelter - Nightly-Night	7	1168	791
Day Shelter	0	0	0
Transitional Housing	2	615	501
Total Emergency Shelter Component	9	1779	1282
Total Street Outreach	0	0	0
Total PH - Rapid ReHousing	3	94	70
Total Homelessness Prevention	1	25	17

Grant Information

Emergency Shelter Rehab/Conversion

Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded rehab project No
 Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded conversion project No

Data Participation Information

Are there any funded projects, except HMIS or Admin, which are not listed on the Project Links and Uploads form? This includes projects in the HMB and from VSP No

https://www.sagehmis.info/secure/reports/files/pages/galactic.aspx?reportID=11&client_ID=79046&157,4340=134391&id=134391&autoexecute=true... 1/8

Project Outcomes

Project outcomes are reported for all CAPERS where the program year start date is 1-1-2021 or later. This form replaces the narrative in EIS-70 of the action planning data.

From the Action Plan that covered ESG for this reporting period copy and paste or retype the information in Question 1 on screen AP-90: "Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG."

In the annual Emergency Solutions Grant funding competition, CoC and ESG programs are closely aligned to address homelessness collectively and to make the most effective use of the community's resources. While CoC programs focus on long-term solutions for homeless individuals and families, ESG programs seek to emphasize short-term emergency assistance, like shelters, outreach, and emergency housing. This alignment ensures a comprehensive approach, with CoC programs addressing the root causes of homelessness and ESG programs providing immediate relief. The CoC Lead Agency and Kansas City, MO Housing Department have identified the following metrics to achieve the goal of aligning their programs:

- Indicators from HUD's data:
 - Bed Utilization
 - Unit Utilization
 - Length of Stay (project enrollment to move-in date)
 - Successful Exit to Permanent Housing
 - New or Increased Income (Earned or Other Sources)
 - Returns to Homelessness
 - Serve High Needs Populations
 - Cost per Permanent Housing Unit
 - Data Quality
- Overall Indicators
 - Coordinated Entry Participation
 - CoC Meeting Attendance
 - Partnerships and Collaboration
 - Leading with Equity
 - Best practices in service delivery
 - Alignment with federal and local priorities
 - Housing First Implementation
 - Participation in PEH-HC
 - CoC Committee Participation

Funded projects are evaluated on each of these metrics yearly during a rank and review process so that those who most closely align with the CoC's performance standards receive funding.

Based on the information from the Action Plan response previously provided to HUD:

1. Briefly describe how you met the performance standards identified in A-90 this program year, if they are not measurable as written type in N/A as the answer:

The staff of HCDHO has increased its focus on strengthening its relationship with the Continuum of Care and its lead agency to engage in work that is deeply collaborative rather than merely coordinating. During the Covid-19 pandemic and the months to follow as a result from these two agencies have been working together and made significant strides in effort to streamline services, minimize duplication of effort and to ensure subrecipients are well equipped to administer ESG funds and pandemic relief funds and future funds for years to come. The collaborative work done during this period has also served to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the homeless system in its ability. The ESG program funding addresses to be a effective source of funding to help those who are recovering from loss of income due to the pandemic. Without these funds being made available to citizens expending unforeseen rental and utility expenses, the outcome are often put in a predicament of becoming homeless. Rapid rehousing funding continues to assist those who are on the street or in shelters the availability of becoming housed and stable.

2. Briefly describe what you did not meet and why, if they are not measurable as written type in N/A as the answer:

For all projects, during the reporting periods, the projects served a total of 1089 individuals in 914 households. 515 of those individuals were in transitional housing projects, 266 individuals were in emergency shelter projects, 28 individuals participated in a homelessness prevention project, 84 were in Rapid Rehousing projects, and 99 individuals were in street outreach projects. 40% of individuals assisted came from literally homeless living situations, addressing the CoC's goals of providing those living in places not meant for human habitation. The program demonstrated a commitment to serving high-needs populations with 60% of individuals served by the program having at least 1 disabling condition, with 47% having 3 or more disabling conditions. 15% of those served were chronically homeless. For project outcomes, 38% of participants exited to a permanent housing destination. But less than 8% exited to a place not meant for human habitation. 29 persons enrolled in RRH projects moved into housing with an average length of time from project entry to move-in of only 23 days. The percentage of adult participants with any income increased from 26% to 48% from project entry to project exit. The number of adults with earned income increased from 13% to 30 % from entry to exit.

OR

3. If your standards were not written as measurable, provide a sample of what you will change them to in the future? If they were measurable and you answered above type in N/A as the answer:

N/A

2/26/24, 3:08 PM

Sega: Reports: HUD ESG CAPER

Financial Information

https://www.esgportal.info/report/reports/1166/segment/78046&1574340=134381&id=134281&auto_logout=bus... 3/8

ESG Information from iDIS

As of 7/14/2023

FY	Grant Number	Dollars Authorized Amount	Funds Committed By Recipient	Funds Drawn	Balance Remaining	Obligation Date	Expended
2022	E22MCC290003	\$668,082.00	\$617,990.00	\$0	\$50,092.00	3/30/2023	3/30/2023
2021	E21MCC290003	\$648,738.00	\$620,788.00	\$589,893.08	\$99,144.92	7/29/2021	7/28/2023
2020	E20MCC290008	\$665,966.00	\$655,966.00	\$655,966.00	\$0	5/6/2020	5/5/2022
2019	E19MCC290003	\$687,798.00	\$657,798.00	\$657,798.00	\$0	8/13/2019	8/13/2021
2018	E18MCC290003	\$639,957.00	\$629,967.00	\$629,967.00	\$0	7/20/2018	7/20/2020
2017	E17MCC290003	\$649,463.00	\$649,463.00	\$649,463.00	\$0	9/22/2017	9/22/2019
2016	E16MCC290003	\$663,295.00	\$663,295.00	\$663,295.00	\$0	7/22/2016	7/22/2018
2015	E15MCC290003	\$671,295.00	\$671,295.00	\$671,295.00	\$0	7/17/2015	7/17/2017
Total		\$6,468,915.00	\$6,367,818.00	\$6,367,818.08	\$767,176.92		

Expenditures	2023		2022		2021		2020		2019		2018	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
FY2022 Annual ESG Funds for												
Homelessness Prevention												
Non-CCVID												
Rental Assistance				18,774.35								
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance				6,779.31								
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Services				0.00								
Hazard Pay (unique activity)												
Landlord Incentives (unique activity)												
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)												
Training (unique activity)												
Homeless Prevention Expenses				20,553.66								
FY2022 Annual ESG Funds for												
Rapid Re-Housing												
Non-CCVID												
Rental Assistance				75,647.49								
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance				88,917.00								
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Services				20,340.94								
Hazard Pay (unique activity)												
Landlord Incentives (unique activity)												
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)												
Training (unique activity)												
RRH Expenses				156,904.78								
FY2022 Annual ESG Funds for												
Emergency Shelter												
Non-CCVID												
Essential Services				142,887.32								
Operations				141,878.77								
Renovation				0.00								
Major Rehab				0.00								
Construction				0.00								
Hazard Pay (unique activity)												
Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)												
Training (unique activity)												
Emergency Shelter Expenses				284,480.09								
FY2022 Annual ESG Funds for												
Temporary Emergency Shelter												
Non-CCVID												
Essential Services												
Operations												
Leasing existing real property or temporary structures												

https://www.usgblmils.mil/secure/reports/interpages/galactic.aspx?reportID=118&client_ID=79048&157.4340=134381&id=134381&subcoordinator=... 4/8

Acquisition
 Renovation
 Hazard Pay (unique activity)
 Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)
 Training (unique activity)
 Other Shelter Costs
 Temporary Emergency Shelter Expenses

Street Outreach
 Essential Services
 Hazard Pay (unique activity)
 Volunteer Incentives (unique activity)
 Training (unique activity)
 Handwashing Stations/Portable Bathrooms (unique activity)
 Street Outreach Expenses

Other ESQ Expenditures
 Cell Phones for persons in CoC/YHDP funded projects (unique activity)
 Coordinated Entry COVID Enhancements (unique activity)
 Training (unique activity)
 Vaccine Incentives (unique activity)
 HMIS
 Administration
 Other Expenses

Total Expenditures
 Match

Total ESQ expenditure plus match

Total expenditures plus match for all years

FY2022 Annual ESQ Funds for
 Non-COVID

0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

FY2022 Annual ESQ Funds for
 Non-COVID

5,815.56
 4,115.96

FY2022 Annual ESQ Funds for
 Non-COVID

468,008.48

468,009.49

Sources of Match

	FY2023	FY2021	FY2020	FY2019	FY2018	FY2017	FY2016	FY2015
Total regular ESB plus COVID expenditures brought forward	\$488,008.43	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total ESB used for COVID brought forward	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total ESB used for regular expenses which requires a match	\$488,008.43	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match numbers from financials	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Match Percentage	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Match Source	FY2023	FY2022	FY2021	FY2020	FY2019	FY2018	FY2017	FY2016	FY2015
Other Non-ESB HUD Funds		0.00	0.00	0.00					
Other Federal Funds		60,852.96	67,000.00	57,200.00					
State Government		37,638.82	0.00	0.00					
Local Government		60,600.00	50,800.00	100,000.00					
Private Funds		46,981.00	0.00	0.00					
Other		474,182.78	641,867.00	689,275.00					
Fees		0.00	0.00	0.00					
Program Income		0.00	0.00	0.00					
Total Cash Match	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="671,560.44"/>	<input type="text" value="788,667.00"/>	<input type="text" value="888,475.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
Non-Cash Match		0.00	0.00	0.00					
Total Match	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="671,560.44"/>	<input type="text" value="788,667.00"/>	<input type="text" value="888,475.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>

Program Income

Program Income is the income received by the recipient or subrecipient directly generated by a grant supported activity. Program Income is defined in 2 CFR §200.307. More information is also available in the ESB CAPER Guidebook in the resources tab above.

Did the recipient earn program income from any ESB project during the program year?

1

