

## **Inter-Departmental Communication Office of the City Auditor**

**Date:** September 24, 2010

**To:** Councilman Russ Johnson

From: Gary L. White, City Auditor Day L. White

Subject: Citizen Satisfaction Survey Results – North Geographic Area (2005-2008 and

2010)

You asked for citizen survey results for only the north geographic area of the city for 2005-2008 and fiscal year 2010. The attached table shows those results.

The north geographic survey area is based on the following ZIP codes and contains a little over 118,000 people or about 27 percent of the city's population, based on the 2000 U.S. Census.

Zip Codes	North Area Population
64116, 64117, 64118, 64119, 64151, 64152, 64153, 64154, 64155, 64156, 64157, 64158, 64161, 64163, 64164, 64165, 64166, 64167	118,497 (26.9%)

If you have any questions, please contact me at 513-3320.

## Attachment

cc: Mayor Mark Funkhouser

Members of the City Council

Troy M. Schulte, Interim City Manager

## Kansas City Citizen Survey Results (2005-2008, and 2010) **North Geographic Area Results**

All results exclude "Don't Know" responses. Results may not add to 100% due to rounding.

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
	(N=1229) <sup>1</sup>	(N=982)	(N=1172)	(N=1176)	(N=1135)
	+/- 2.78% <sup>2</sup>	+/- 3.11%	+/- 2.85%	+/- 2.84%	+/- 2.89%
Major Service Categories					
Q1a Overall quality of police, fire, and ambulance s Satisfied/Very Satisfied		74%	75%	76%	78%
Neutral	70% 21%	74% 19%	75% 18%	76% 19%	76% 16%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	21% 9%	7%	7%	19% 5%	5%
Dissatisfied/ Very Dissatisfied	3 /0	1 70	1 /0	J /0	J /0
Q1b Quality of city parks and recreation programs	and faciliti	es			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	53%	60%	59%	56%	59%
Neutral	31%	27%	29%	32%	30%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	13%	11%	12%	12%
		_			
Q1c Overall maintenance of city streets, buildings,			070/	0.40/	0.407
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	16%	21%	27%	21%	24%
Neutral	24%	31%	29%	32%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	60%	47%	43%	47%	45%
Q1d Overall quality of city water utilities					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	60%	67%	69%	64%	62%
Neutral	24%	20%	19%	23%	23%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	13%	12%	13%	15%
Ota Occasilla of consent of city and be an I an I'm					
Q1e Overall enforcement of city codes and ordinan	<b>ces</b> 35%	40%	42%	37%	32%
Satisfied/Very Satisfied Neutral	35% 35%	40% 35%	42% 36%	37% 39%	32% 40%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	30%	35% 25%	36% 22%	39% 24%	40% 28%
Dissalished/very Dissalished	30 /6	25 /0	22 /0	<b>24</b> /0	20 /0
Q1f Overall quality of customer service you receive	from city	employe	es		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	38%	49%	51%	50%	49%
Neutral	36%	30%	30%	32%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	26%	21%	19%	18%	23%
Q1g Overall effectiveness of city communication w	-		4404	4007	0.507
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	32%	39%	41%	40%	35%
Neutral	40%	37%	36%	38%	35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	29%	23%	23%	22%	30%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> N=number of survey responses. <sup>2</sup> Margin of Error (95% confidence, p=50%)

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q1h Overall quality of the city's stormwater run	off/stormwate	r manage	ement sys	tem	
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	39%	42%	47%	41%	40%
Neutral	32%	33%	29%	31%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	29%	25%	25%	28%	29%
Q1i Overall quality of the city's public health ser	rvices				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	45%	47%	53%	50%	44%
Neutral	44%	40%	35%	39%	44%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	12%	13%	12%	11%	11%
Q1j Overall flow of traffic					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	30%	39%	38%	41%	44%
Neutral	25%	31%	34%	32%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	45%	30%	28%	27%	24%
•					
Q1k Overall quality of public transportation					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2010				30%
Neutral					38%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					31%
•					
Q1I Overall quality of city convention facilities					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	53%	62%	72%	60%	56%
Neutral	37%	30%	22%	30%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	10%	8%	6%	10%	12%
,					
Q1m Overall quality of the city's 311 service					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	new in 2010				46%
Neutral					30%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					23%
,					
Emphasis for Major Service Categories					
Q2 Major service categories that should receive	the most emp	hasis fro	om city le	aders ove	r the
next two years – 1 <sup>st</sup> Choice					
Police, fire, and ambulance	14%	16%	13%	12%	18%
Parks and recreation programs and facilities	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%
Maintenance of city streets, buildings, and facilities	46%	43%	44%	44%	36%
Water utilities	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Enforcement of codes and ordinances	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Customer service	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%
Communication with the public	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%
Stormwater management	5%	5%	7%	7%	4%
Public health	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%
Traffic flow	15%	9%	11%	9%	6%
Public transportation	new in 2010	3,0	, •	0,0	8%
Convention facilities	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
311 service	new in 2010	1 /0	1 /0	_ /0	4%
3.1. 33.1133	11000 111 2010				770

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q2 Major service categories that should receive next two years – 2 <sup>nd</sup> Choice	the most emp	phasis fro	om city le	aders ove	er the
Police, fire, and ambulance	9%	6%	8%	8%	9%
Parks and recreation programs and facilities	4%	8%	5%	5%	5%
Maintenance of city streets, buildings, and facilities	24%	23%	21%	20%	24%
Water utilities	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%
Enforcement of codes and ordinances	7%	10%	8%	11%	8%
Customer service	8%	7%	8%	6%	6%
Communication with the public	8%	8%	9%	7%	7%
Stormwater management	8%	10%	10%	12%	9%
Public health	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%
Traffic flow	20%	15%	17%	15%	10%
Public transportation	new in 2010				7%
Convention facilities	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%
311 service	new in 2010		.,.		4%
Q2 Major service categories that should receive next two years – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	the most emp	phasis fro	om city le	aders ove	er the
Police, fire, and ambulance	9%	6%	7%	7%	5%
Parks and recreation programs and facilities	9%	7%	7%	6%	7%
Maintenance of city streets, buildings, and facilities	10%	10%	11%	11%	13%
Water utilities	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%
Enforcement of codes and ordinances	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%
Customer service	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%
Communication with the public	12%	14%	13%	12%	13%
Stormwater management	9%	10%	9%	11%	9%
Public health	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%
Traffic flow	13%	17%	19%	16%	10%
Public transportation	new in 2010				7%
Convention facilities	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%
311 service	new in 2010				4%
Items That May Influence Citizen Perceptions of	the City				
Q3a Overall quality of services provided by the	City of Kansa	s City, M	issouri		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	44%	52%	54%	52%	48%
Neutral	39%	34%	35%	36%	36%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	18%	14%	11%	13%	16%
Q3b Overall value that you receive for your city		d fees			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	24%	29%	34%	31%	27%
Neutral	33%	35%	33%	35%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	43%	36%	34%	35%	40%
Q3c Overall image of the city					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	38%	51%	52%	49%	40%
Neutral	34%	31%	34%	33%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	28%	18%	15%	18%	29%

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q3d How well the city is planning growth					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	29%	38%	43%	35%	26%
Neutral	35%	33%	31%	37%	36%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	37%	29%	26%	28%	38%
Q3e Overall quality of life in the city	F70/	640/	0.40/	000/	<b>57</b> 0/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	57%	61%	64%	66%	57%
Neutral	30%	28%	27%	27%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	14%	11%	9%	7%	12%
Q3f Overall feeling of safety in the city					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	36%	39%	47%	45%	44%
Neutral	30%	32%	32%	31%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	34%	29%	21%	23%	25%
<u>Living in Kansas City, Missouri</u> Q4 Do you think you will be living in the Kansas C	City, Missou	ri, five ye	ars from	now?	
	new in 2010				83%
No					17%
Public Safety Services Q5a Quality of local police protection Satisfied/Very Satisfied Neutral Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	58% 26% 16%	64% 20% 15%	69% 19% 12%	67% 22% 10%	72% 19% 9%
Q5b The visibility of police in neighborhoods					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	41%	44%	51%	49%	53%
Neutral	28%	27%	25%	28%	25%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	31%	28%	23%	23%	22%
Q5c The visibility of police in retail areas					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	40%	45%	48%	48%	49%
Neutral	39%	34%	31%	35%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	21%	21%	21%	17%	17%
Q5d The city's overall efforts to prevent crime					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	39%	46%	45%	44%
Neutral	35%	33%	34%	35%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	32%	28%	21%	20%	23%
Q5e Enforcement of local traffic laws					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	52%	52%	53%	54%	58%
Neutral	29%	26%	29%	30%	27%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	19%	20%	18%	17%	15%
Dissatisfied/ voly Dissatisfied	13/0	<b>22</b> /0	10/0	17 70	10/0

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q5f Overall quality of police services					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied			64%	62%	65%
Neutral			26%	29%	26%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied			10%	9%	9%
Q5g Overall quality of local fire protection and rescu	ue service	es			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	78%	82%	80%	79%	83%
Neutral	19%	15%	17%	19%	14%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Q5h Quality of local ambulance service					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	65%	72%	73%	68%	75%
Neutral	28%	24%	22%	28%	20%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	7%	5%	6%	3%	5%
Q5i How quickly public safety personnel respond to	emergen	cies			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	53%	62%	69%	65%	70%
Neutral	32%	26%	22%	28%	22%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	12%	10%	7%	8%
Q5j Quality of animal control					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	40%	42%	45%	43%	43%
Neutral	38%	32%	32%	35%	35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	22%	26%	23%	23%	22%
Parks and Recreation Programs and Services					
Q5k Maintenance of city parks					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	51%	57%	58%	50%	53%
Neutral	33%	29%	28%	36%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	17%	13%	14%	14%	14%
Q5I Maintenance of boulevards and parkways					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	46%	51%	54%	47%	47%
Neutral	34%	31%	29%	34%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	20%	18%	17%	19%	19%
Q5m The location of city parks					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	44%	50%	50%	51%	51%
Neutral	35%	33%	32%	34%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	21%	16%	17%	16%	16%
Q5n Walking and biking trails in the city					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	31%	32%	36%	33%	33%
Neutral	34%	36%	31%	35%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	35%	32%	33%	32%	32%
Dissaudition, voly Dissaudition	00 /0	02 /0	0070	JZ /0	JZ /0

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q5o Maintenance of Kansas City, Missouri, commu	nity cente	rs			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	34%	43%	46%	44%	43%
Neutral	50%	43%	38%	45%	44%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	14%	16%	10%	14%
Q5p City swimming pools and programs					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	27%	36%	43%	40%	37%
Neutral	47%	41%	35%	45%	42%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	26%	23%	22%	15%	21%
Q5q Outdoor athletic fields (i.e. baseball, soccer, ar	nd flag foo	otball)			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	42%	48%	50%	48%	43%
Neutral	44%	37%	37%	41%	42%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	14%	15%	13%	10%	15%
Q5r The city's youth athletic programs					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	32%	39%	45%	38%	38%
Neutral	52%	45%	41%	51%	45%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	15%	14%	11%	16%
Q5s The city's adult athletic programs	.0,0	.0,0	, 0	,0	. 6 7 6
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	28%	34%	39%	34%	36%
Neutral	57%	49%	45%	54%	48%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15%	18%	16%	12%	16%
Discalionica, very Discalionica	1070	1070	1070	1270	1070
Q5t Programs and activities at Kansas City, Missou		ınity cent	ers		440/
•	w in 2010				41%
Neutral					48%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					11%
Q5u Ease of registering for [Parks and Recreation]	programs				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	31%	38%	43%	36%	40%
Neutral	57%	48%	46%	51%	49%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	12%	14%	11%	12%	11%
Q5v The reasonableness of fees charged for recrea	tion progr	ams			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	30%	36%	40%	39%	38%
Neutral	54%	47%	45%	49%	49%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	17%	15%	12%	13%
·					
Communication and Leadership Services  Q5w The availability of information about city programmer.	rams and s	services			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	31%	37%	41%	38%	36%
Neutral	39%	32%	32%	34%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	31%	31%	27%	28%	30%
2.00dilonodi vory 2.00dilonod	3170	3170	_, ,0	_0 /0	30 /0

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q5x City efforts to keep you informed about local i					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	35%	40%	38%	33%
Neutral	34%	33%	33%	35%	32%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	34%	32%	27%	28%	35%
Q5y Level of public involvement in local decision in	_	2004	<b></b> /	000/	240/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	19%	22%	25%	22%	21%
Neutral	38%	38%	39%	41%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	43%	40%	36%	36%	46%
Q5z Overall quality of leadership provided by the o	-		s		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	25%	28%	36%	26%	17%
Neutral	37%	35%	36%	39%	26%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	38%	38%	28%	36%	57%
Q5aa Overall effectiveness of appointed boards an	nd commiss	sions			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	20%	24%	28%	20%	17%
Neutral	43%	37%	39%	43%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	37%	38%	33%	37%	50%
Q5bb Overall effectiveness of the city manager and Satisfied/Very Satisfied Neutral Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	d appointed 26% 43% 31%	31% 39% 30%	33% 38% 29%	25% 43% 33%	17% 31% 52%
Q5cc How ethically the city conducts business				000/	4=0/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied				26%	17%
Neutral				43%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied				31%	49%
Q5dd Overall quality of the city's website					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied ne	ew in 2010				37%
Neutral					46%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					17%
Maintenance, Streets, and Solid Waste Services Q6a Maintenance of city streets					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100/	220/	270/	240/	240/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	19%	23%	27%	21%	24%
Neutral	18%	24%	24%	28%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	63%	53%	49%	51%	48%
Q6b Maintenance of streets in your neighborhood		0.557	4		,
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	40%	36%	45%	41%	40%
Neutral	22%	23%	19%	23%	21%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	38%	41%	36%	36%	39%

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q6c The smoothness of city streets					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	14%	21%	26%	22%	24%
Neutral	21%	23%	26%	30%	30%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	65%	56%	48%	48%	47%
Q6d Condition of sidewalks in the city					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	21%	24%	30%	26%	27%
Neutral	37%	30%	30%	35%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	42%	46%	41%	39%	39%
Q6e Maintenance of traffic signals					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	55%	58%	62%	60%	60%
Neutral	32%	30%	27%	29%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	13%	12%	11%	11%	10%
Q6f Maintenance and preservation of downtown Kar	sas Citv.	Missouri			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	31%	39%	50%	51%	46%
Neutral	36%	35%	32%	34%	39%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	34%	25%	18%	15%	16%
Q6g Maintenance of city buildings (e.g. City Hall)					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	42%	48%	56%	52%	48%
Neutral	42 <i>%</i> 46%	40 %	33%	41%	43%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	12%	11%	11%	7%	10%
Q6h Snow removal on major city streets during the	oast 12 m	onths			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	55%	56%	61%	58%	56%
Neutral	24%	23%	19%	23%	20%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	21%	21%	20%	19%	23%
Q6i Snow removal on residential streets during the	oast 12 m	onths			
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	38%	27%	40%	38%	33%
Neutral	21%	22%	20%	22%	18%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	41%	51%	40%	40%	49%
Q6j Mowing and tree trimming along city streets and	other nu	ıblic areas	s		
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	34%	37%	44%	32%	40%
Neutral	33%	32%	31%	33%	33%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	33%	30%	25%	34%	27%
Ock Overell eleculiness of situates and other with	lie eree-				
Q6k Overall cleanliness of city streets and other pub			440/	200/	200/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	32%	37%	41%	38%	39%
Neutral	36%	33%	34%	37%	38%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	33%	30%	24%	26%	24%

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q6I Adequacy of city street lighting					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	64%	61%	65%	65%	57%
Neutral	24%	25%	24%	26%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	12%	14%	11%	9%	15%
Q6m Overall quality of trash collection services	C40/	C00/	740/	C00/	700/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	61%	68%	71%	60%	70%
Neutral	20%	19%	17%	20%	16%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	19%	13%	11%	20%	14%
Q6n Overall quality of recycling collection service					
•	ew in 2010				70%
Neutral					17%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					13%
Q6o Overall quality of bulky item collection service	es				
	ew in 2010				41%
Neutral					21%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					37%
Q6p Overall quality of catch basin cleaning and re Satisfied/Very Satisfied Neutral Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	epairs eew in 2010				32% 41% 27%
Q6q Timeliness of water/sewer line break repairs					
-	ew in 2010				38%
Neutral	ew III 2010				35%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied					27%
Discussioned, voly Discussioned					2.70
Code Enforcement Services	. ,				
Q6r Enforcing the clean up of litter and debris on		-	070/	050/	040/
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	22%	24%	27%	25%	21%
Neutral	35%	32%	36%	35%	31%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	43%	44%	37%	41%	48%
Q6s Enforcing the mowing and cutting of weeds of					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	20%	22%	27%	21%	22%
Neutral	34%	32%	33%	34%	28%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	46%	46%	40%	45%	49%
Q6t Enforcing the maintenance of residential prop	perty				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	23%	27%	31%	24%	23%
Neutral	41%	35%	35%	39%	34%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	36%	39%	35%	37%	43%

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q6u Enforcing the exterior maintenance of busines	s property				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	26%	31%	35%	31%	31%
Neutral	48%	43%	42%	47%	39%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	26%	26%	24%	22%	30%
Q6v Enforcing codes designed to protect public sat				222/	2001
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	35%	40%	38%	36%
Neutral	49%	45%	42%	43%	42%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	18%	19%	18%	18%	21%
Q6w Enforcing sign regulations					
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	33%	35%	38%	38%	36%
Neutral	51%	45%	44%	48%	44%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16%	21%	18%	14%	20%
Dissatisfica, very Dissatisfica	1070	2170	1070	1470	2070
Q6x Enforcing and prosecuting illegal dumping act	ivities				
Satisfied/Very Satisfied	20%	23%	25%	23%	21%
Neutral	36%	31%	33%	36%	29%
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	44%	46%	41%	41%	49%
Respondent Experiences Q7a Were you or anyone in your household the vict during the last year? Yes No	tim of any	12% 88%	<b>Kansas C</b> 10% 90%	9% 91%	ouri, 10% 90%
O7h Have you called the nalice in the last year?					
Q7b Have you called the police in the last year? Yes		27%	26%	26%	26%
No		73%	74%	74%	74%
NO		13%	74%	74%	74%
Q7c Have you called 311 in the last year?					
Yes			21%	29%	42%
No			79%	71%	58%
Q7d Have any members of your household attended public meeting in the last year?	d or watch	ed any K	ansas Cit	y, Missou	ıri,
· .	w in 2010				32%
No					68%
Q7e Have you visited the city's website in the last y					
Yes net	w in 2010				46% 54%
Q7f Have you used the city's website to make any p	-	n the last	t year?		
	w in 2010				16%
No					84%

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Q7g Have you used the bulky item pick-up service	in the last	year?			
	ew in 2010				48%
No					52%
Q7h Have you visited downtown in the last year?					
Yes			78%	82%	81%
No			22%	18%	19%
Q7i Have you visited downtown for entertainment	or dining in	the last	year?		
Yes				68%	68%
No				32%	32%
Q7j Have you visited a Kansas City, Missouri, com	munity cen	nter in the	last year	?	
Yes	ew in 2010		-		25%
No					75%
Q7k Have any members of your household visited	any parks	in Kansa	s City, Mi	ssouri, in	the
last year? Yes ne	ew in 2010 <sup>3</sup>				73%
No ne	W III 2010				73% 27%
NO					21 /0
Q7I Have any members of your household receive and Recreation Department programs or activities			sas City,	Missouri,	Parks
	ew in 2010				40%
No					60%
Q7m Have you used public transportation in the la	st year?				
Yes ne	ew in 2010				10%
No					90%
Rating Kansas City, Missouri					
Q8a How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as	a place to	live?			
Good/Excellent	75%	77%	78%	79%	73%
Neutral	16%	16%	16%	16%	18%
Below Average/Poor	9%	6%	6%	5%	9%
Q8b How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as	a place to	raise chi	ldren?		
Good/Excellent	66%	68%	69%	71%	65%
Neutral	21%	21%	20%	20%	22%
Below Average/Poor	13%	11%	11%	10%	13%
Q8c How would you rate Kansas City, Missouri, as	-				
Good/Excellent	68%	69%	71%	73%	64%
Neutral	21%	21%	21%	19%	23%
Below Average/Poor	10%	10%	8%	8%	12%

<sup>3</sup> In prior years we asked respondents how frequently they had visited a city park during the last 12 months – weekly, a few times a month, monthly, less than once a month, or seldom/never.

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North
Feelings of Safety					
Q9a How safe do you feel at home during the day?					
Safe/Very Safe	91%	89%	89%	92%	89%
Neutral	7%	9%	8%	6%	8%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Q9b How safe do you feel at home at night?					
Safe/Very Safe	79%	78%	82%	83%	81%
Neutral	15%	15%	11%	12%	14%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	7%	7%	7%	5%	5%
Q9c How safe do you feel in your neighborhood dur	ing the da	av?			
Safe/Very Safe	90%	88%	90%	93%	90%
Neutral	8%	10%	8%	6%	8%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Q9d How safe do you feel in your neighborhood at r	niaht?				
Safe/Very Safe	74%	74%	78%	79%	76%
Neutral	18%	17%	15%	13%	15%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	8%	9%	6%	7%	8%
onsaid/very onsaid	070	370	070	770	070
Q9e How safe do you feel in city parks during the da	ay?				
Safe/Very Safe	49%	57%	60%	62%	61%
Neutral	32%	30%	29%	29%	26%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	18%	13%	11%	10%	13%
Q9f How safe do you feel in city parks at night?					
Safe/Very Safe	9%	13%	20%	14%	15%
Neutral	25%	24%	28%	31%	27%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe	66%	63%	52%	56%	58%
Q9g How safe do you feel in downtown Kansas City	. Missouri	i. durina 1	he day?		
Safe/Very Safe	,	, 3	64%	66%	65%
Neutral			25%	26%	24%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe			11%	9%	11%
Q9h How safe do you feel in downtown Kansas City	Missouri	i at night	?		
Safe/Very Safe	,	., at mgm	22%	23%	21%
Neutral			31%	32%	30%
Unsafe/Very Unsafe			47%	45%	49%
Should, very Should			17.70	1070	1070
Watching Channel 2 Q10 Have any members of your household watched government cable television channel in the last wee		2, Kansa	s City, Mi	ssouri's	
- T.	v in 2010				30%
No	0,0				59%
Not available on my television					11%
•					

	2005 North	2006 North	2007 North	2008 North	2010 North			
<u>Demographics</u>								
Q11 Do you own or rent your current residence?								
Own	89%	89%	89%	91%	88%			
Rent	11%	11%	11%	9%	12%			
Q12 Approximately how many years have you lived in Kansas City, Missouri?								
Median	26	28	25	27	25			
Q13 Respondent's race/ethnicity								
Asian/Pacific Islander	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%			
White	87%	84%	86%	86%	88%			
American Indian/Eskimo	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%			
Black/African American	9%	10%	6%	4%	7%			
Hispanic/Latino			4%4					
Other	2%	3%	1% <sup>4</sup>	6%	3%			
Q14 Are you or any members of your household of I	Hispanic,	Latino, o		oanish an	cestry?			
Yes	5%	7%	na⁴	9%	8%			
No	95%	93%	na	91%	92%			
Q15 Respondent's total annual household income								
Under \$30,000	19%	20%	17%	13%	18%			
\$30,000 to \$59,999	36%	34%	34%	32%	30%			
\$60,000 to \$99,999	31%	29%	32%	32%	31%			
\$100,000 or more	15%	18%	18%	23%	21%			
Q18 Respondent's gender								
Male	51%	49%	50%	48%	50%			
Female	49%	51%	50%	52%	50%			
How respondents completed the survey								
Mail	87%	54%	42%	58%	49%			
Phone	13%	46%	58%	42%	51%			

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  In 2007, this demographic question was not asked in a way that was compatible with the 2000 Census.