## Office of the City Auditor



21st Floor, City Hall 414 East 12th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106

(816) 513-3300 Fax: (816) 513-3305

February 28, 2011

Board President Patrick McInerney and Mayor Mark Funkhouser Kansas City, Missouri, Board of Police Commissioners Audit Committee 1125 Locust Kansas City, MO 64106

Dear Board President McInerney and Mayor Funkhouser:

During the February 24th Police board meeting, Mayor Funkhouser asked whether some policerelated questions were excluded from my February 15<sup>th</sup> memo identifying correlations between survey respondents overall feeling of safety and other survey questions because the results were not statistically significant.

Following the meeting, I discovered two other police-related questions ("The visibility of police in neighborhoods" and "Overall quality of police, fire, and ambulance services") were excluded in error.

The revised memo is attached. It identifies correlation coefficients for all eight police-related survey questions. The overall conclusions remain unchanged.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 513-3320. I regret the error.

Sincerely BL. With

Gary L. White

City Auditor

Attachment

cc:

Board of Police Commissioners James Corwin, Chief of Police

## Office of the City Auditor



21st Floor, City Hall 414 East 12th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106

(816) 513-3300 Fax: (816) 513-3305

February 28, 2011

Board President Patrick McInerney and Mayor Mark Funkhouser Kansas City, Missouri, Board of Police Commissioners 1125 Locust Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Dear Board President McInerney and Mayor Funkhouser:

During our January 20, 2011, presentation of the second quarter fiscal year 2011 citizen survey results to the Board of Police Commissioners, you asked whether there was any relationship or correlation between the fiscal year 2010 survey results on the question asking respondents about their overall feeling of safety in the city and questions related to the visibility of police.

To assess the relationship between citizen responses to the question "Overall feeling of safety in the city" and the eight questions related to police activities, we calculated correlation coefficients. The closer the value of the correlation coefficient is to +1 or -1, the stronger the relationship between the questions.

Statistics literature includes a number of scales for interpreting and describing the strength of the relationship. We identified eight scales, which we used in combination to determine a range for the strength of the relationship between the questions.

Overall, we found a low to moderate relationship between the question "Overall feeling of safety in the city" and the eight questions related to police activities. (See the following table.) The strongest relationship was between the questions "Overall feeling of safety in the city" and "The city's overall efforts to prevent crime."

Fiscal Year 2010 Citizen Survey – Correlation Between Overall Feeling of Safety and Questions Related to Police Activities

Question	Correlation Coefficient = <i>r</i>	Correlation Strength based on	Overall feeling of safety in the city
The city's overall efforts to prevent crime	0.515	Moderate to High	
Quality of local police protection	0.437	Low to Moderate	
Overall quality of police services	0.406	Low to Moderate	
The visibility of police in neighborhoods	0.400	Low to Moderate	
The visibility of police in retail areas	0.372	Low to Moderate	
How quickly public safety personnel respond to emergencies	0.317	Low to Moderate	
Enforcement of local traffic laws	0.316	Low to Moderate	
Overall quality of police, fire, and ambulance services	0.311	Low to Moderate	

It should be noted that although a relationship exists between the questions, it does not imply causation as other unknown factors could be influencing the relationship.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 513-3320.

Sincerely,

Gary L. White City Auditor

B. Wit

cc: Members of the Board of Police Commissioners James Corwin, Chief of Police